## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

EDEN ROGERS, and BRANDY WELCH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ALEX AZAR, in his official capacity as Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services, ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, LYNN JOHNSON, in her official capacity as Assistant Secretary of Administration for Children and Families, HENRY MCMASTER, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of South Carolina, MICHAEL LEACH, in his official capacity as State Director of the South Carolina Department of Social Services, and SCOTT LEKAN, in his official capacity as Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Administration for Children and Families,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 6:19-cv-01567-JD

## THE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR'S CONSOLIDATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND TO QUASH SUBPOENAS

Christopher E. Mills (Fed. Bar No. 13432) SPERO LAW LLC 1050 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. #83

Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29465

(843) 606-0640

Email: cmills@spero.law

Thomas A. Limehouse, Jr. (Fed. Bar No. 12148)

Chief Legal Counsel

Anita (Mardi) S. Fair (Fed. Bar No. 12997)

Deputy Legal Counsel
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
South Carolina State House

1100 Gervais Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

(803) 734-2100

Email: tlimehouse@governor.sc.gov Email: mfair@governor.sc.gov

Counsel for the Office of the Governor

## NON-WAIVER OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY

The Office of the Governor–Executive Control of State ("Office" or "Office of the Governor"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby notes its special, limited appearance solely for purposes of moving to quash Plaintiffs' subpoenas and obtain a protective order related to additional subpoenas on grounds of state sovereign immunity. Neither this Motion nor any preceding or subsequent appearance, pleading, document, writing, objection, or conduct should be construed to constitute a waiver of any rights, protections, or immunities, including, without limitation, sovereign immunity or other matters under the South Carolina Constitution, the United States Constitution, or related law, which the Office expressly reserves. The Office submits additional arguments in support of the present Motion only to enable the Court to avoid the constitutional problems inherent in Plaintiffs' subpoenas, and such arguments are not to be viewed or construed as a waiver of sovereign immunity. *See Strawser v. Atkins*, 290 F.3d 720, 729-30 (4th Cir. 2002).

## MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND TO QUASH SUBPOENAS

Pursuant to Rule 45(d)(3) and Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Office of the Governor, a non-party to the above-captioned matter, hereby moves the Court to quash subpoenas issued by Plaintiffs requiring deposition testimony from two of the Office's employees. The Office further moves the Court to issue a protective order preventing Plaintiffs from issuing additional subpoenas against the Office or its employees. Plaintiffs' subpoenas are barred by state sovereign immunity, which, absent waiver or abrogation, protects a State from the intrusion of the federal judiciary into state affairs. In the alternative, the subpoenas should be quashed and a protective order issued because the deponents are high-ranking government officials, and Plaintiffs have not shown the extraordinary circumstances necessary to depose such officials. Moreover,

these depositions would constitute an undue burden, as no testimony from the Office's staff is necessary for Plaintiffs' case, and preparing for and undergoing depositions would waste limited staff time and resources, as well as intrude on privileged (and legally irrelevant) executive decision-making. Finally, in any event, the subpoenas were not validly served "on the named person" under Rule 45.

For the foregoing reasons, as well as those detailed further in the accompanying memorandum in support, the Office of the Governor submits that the Court should grant the present Motion and enter an order quashing the subpoenas issued by Plaintiffs, as well as an appropriate protective order. Should the Court grant this Motion or provide other similar relief, the Office respectfully requests that the Court consider taxing the Office's costs to Plaintiffs or imposing other appropriate sanctions against Plaintiffs' counsel to cover the expenses associated with defending against Plaintiffs' substantively and procedurally deficient non-party discovery demands.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher E. Mills (Fed. Bar No. 13432)

SPERO LAW LLC

1050 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. #83

Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29465

(843) 606-0640

Email: cmills@spero.law

/s/ Thomas A. Limehouse, Jr.

Thomas A. Limehouse, Jr. (Fed. Bar No. 12148)

Chief Legal Counsel

Anita (Mardi) S. Fair (Fed. Bar No. 12997)

Deputy Legal Counsel

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

South Carolina State House

1100 Gervais Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

(803) 734-2100

Email: tlimehouse@governor.sc.gov

Email: mfair@governor.sc.gov

Counsel for the Office of the Governor

April 29, 2021

Columbia, South Carolina